What is a Neutrino Factory ?

Neutrino Beam Sources

Pion-decay based neutrino beam

$$\pi^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \nu_{\mu}$$
$$\pi^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-} \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$$

Prompt decays

As beam backgrounds

$$K \to \mu \nu, K \to \pi l \nu$$
$$\mu \to e \nu \overline{\nu}$$

Beam normalization uncertainty ~ 10% Muon-decay based neutrino beam

After decays of pions and kaons

$$\mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} v_{e} \overline{v}_{\mu}$$
$$\mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \overline{v}_{e} v_{\mu}$$

Less beam background
 Beam normalization better known

More Neutrinos!

- Given the proton beam power, numbers of pions and muons are similar.
- Acceleration of parent particles gives more neutrinos by boosting.
- Pion production cross section is high around 200-300 MeV/c.
- Only muons live long enough to accelerate.



Muon acceleration!!!

Muon Storage Ring

Muons at high energy do not decay quickly…

At 10 GeV, average muon lifetime is 0.2 msec.
 Storage ring with long straight section would be needed.

Two straight sections give at least two experiments (with different baselines).

Muon Storage Ring as a Neutrino Source





Spread of beam scales as $1/E^2$ Event rate/neutrino scales as E For same L event rate/unit area scales as E^3

Spread of beam scales as L² For fixed E/L, event rate/unit area scales

At 50 GeV, γ =500 and beam spread is 2 mrad

 $\theta \propto -$

Advantages of NuFACT

High neutrino intensity at high energy (several 10 GeV) \sim 10¹⁹ - 10²¹ neutrinos/year. about 100 times intensity at a few 10 GeV energy range. Both muon and electron neutrinos available Energetic electron neutrino Only NuFACT and Beta beams Extremely low backgrounds Less than 10⁻⁴ level a few % level at the conventional sources. Precise knowledge on neutrino intensity and emittance

NuFACT Scheme

8 Proton on target 8 **Pion Collection** 8 Phase Rotation 8 **Ionization** Cooling 8 Acceleration ⁸ FFAG acceleration 8 Storage Ring



NF Studies in the World



Neutríno Oscíllatíon Physics at a Neutríno Factory

Neutrino Oscillation



Sakata matrix

For 3 Neutrino Mixing

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_a & s_a \\ 0 & -s_a & c_a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_x & 0 & s_x e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_x e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_x \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_s & s_s & 0 \\ -s_s & c_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\phi_2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\phi_3 + \delta)} \end{bmatrix}$$

atm unknown solar Majorana phases

atm

 $c = \cos\theta$ $s = \sin\theta$

Majorana phases

- 3 mixing angles θ_a , θ_s , θ_r
- 3 complex phases δ , ϕ_2 , ϕ_3 (CP)

Oscillation probabilities do not depend on ϕ_2 , ϕ_3

Present Knowledge

Atmospheric neutrinos

$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = \Delta m_{atm}^2 \approx 3 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$$

$$sin^2 2\theta_{23} \approx (0.9 - 1.0)$$

Reactor Neutrinos

$$sin^2 2\theta_{13} < 0.1$$

Solar Neutrinos

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = \Delta m_{solar}^2 = 7 \times 10^{-5}$$

sin²2 $\theta_{12} \sim 0.8$
No Information on δ_{CP}



Oscillation Signature at NF

 μ^{-}

 μ^+ μ^-

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \overline{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$$

oscillation

 $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \overline{\nu}_\mu$

oscillation

ν_μ

Look for wrong signed Muons.

charge identification is needed.

NuFACT Event Rates

Charged current (CC) event rate

$$N_{CC}(v_e \rightarrow e) \propto \theta_v^2 \cdot \sigma$$
$$\propto \frac{E_{\mu}^2}{L^2} \cdot E_{\mu} = \frac{E_{\mu}^3}{L^2}$$

Oscillation event rate

$$N_{osc}(v_e \rightarrow \mu)$$

$$\propto \theta_v^2 \cdot \sigma \cdot P(v_e \rightarrow v_\mu)$$

$$\propto \frac{E_{\mu}^3}{L^2} \cdot \frac{L^2}{E_{\mu}^2} = E_{\mu}$$

a number of CC event rate/year 10 kton detector 10²¹ muons/year

| | L = 1 0 0 0 km | L = 1 5 0 0 km |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| E_{μ} = 20 GeV | 3.2x10 ⁵ | 1.4x10 ⁵ |
| E_{μ} =30 GeV | 1.1x10 ⁶ | 4.8x10 ⁵ |

Oscillation Programs

- Observation of $v_e \rightarrow v_\mu$ oscillation
 - Sign of ôm² (pattern of neutrino masses)
 - Matter effect
- First observation

 of v_e → v_x oscillation
 Unitarity of MNS matrix
 Measurement of oscillation

$$\begin{array}{c} \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau} \\ \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \end{array}$$

• Search for CP violation $P(v_e \rightarrow v_\mu) - P(\overline{v}_e \rightarrow \overline{v}_\mu)$

Matter effect

Search for T violation

 $P(v_e \rightarrow v_{\mu}) - P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_e)$ No matter effect
Detection harder

Search for CPT violation

$$P(v_e \rightarrow v_{\mu}) - P(\overline{v}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{v}_e)$$

θ₁₃ Reach

 $P(v_{e} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) = \sin^{2} \theta_{23} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} (1.267\Delta m_{32}^{2} L/E_{\nu})$ $P(v_{e} \rightarrow v_{\tau}) = \cos^{2} \theta_{23} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{13} \sin^{2} (1.267\Delta m_{32}^{2} L/E_{\nu})$ $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\tau}) = \cos^{4} \theta_{13} \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} \sin^{2} (1.267\Delta m_{32}^{2} L/E_{\nu})$

A neutrino factory gives the best precision for measuring all of the neutrino mixing parameters!

L=baseline (km) E_v =energy (GeV) A high energy v_e beam offers unique possibilities!

Gives best sensitivity to θ_{13} of any technique:



CP Violation

CP-odd osc. probability $P(\nu_e - \nu_\mu) - P(\bar{\nu}_e - \bar{\nu}_\mu) = 16s_{12}c_{12}s_{13}c_{13}^2s_{23}c_{23}s_{16}\delta \times$ $sin(\frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{3E}L)sin(\frac{\Delta m_{13}^2}{3E}L)sin(\frac{\Delta m_{23}^2}{3E}L)$ possible only if Δm_{12}^2 and s_{12} are large and s_{13} is large LMA need to know $P_{CP-odd}(v_e \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx -4J \frac{\delta m_{21}^2 L}{2E} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E}\right)$ **Figure of Merit** Jarlskog parameter : $J = c_{12}c_{13}^2c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}\sin(\delta)$ **CP-odd** asymmetry $N_{osc} \propto \left(\frac{E_v}{L}\right)^2 sin^2 \left(\frac{\delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E}\right) \sigma(E_v) \propto E_v$ $A_{CP} = \frac{P(v_e \rightarrow v_{\mu}) - P(\overline{v}_e \rightarrow \overline{v}_{\mu})}{P(v_e \rightarrow v_{\mu}) + P(\overline{v}_e \rightarrow \overline{v}_{\mu})} \propto \frac{L}{E_{\nu}} \qquad FOM = A_{CP-odd}^2 \times N_{osc} \propto \left(\frac{L}{E_{\nu}}\right)^2 E_{\nu}$

CP Reach

Comparing $v_e \rightarrow v_{\mu}, \overline{v_e} \rightarrow \overline{v_{\mu}}$ gives both sgn(Δm_{32}^2) and CP phase:



Discovery Reach Summary

| | $sign(\delta m_a^2)$ | CP-violation |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Superbeam | 3×10 ⁻³ | 3×10 ⁻² |
| NuFact (entry level) | 3×10 ⁻⁴ | 2×10 ⁻³ |
| NuFact (high performance) | 1×10-4 | 5×10-4 |

J-PARC Case (Neutríno Factory)

Sorry, not mentioning the others !

FFAG-based Acceleration

8 FFAG Large acceptance 8 Fast acceleration 8 Muon cooling is not mandatory (better if available). Advantages 3 less RF cavities and power. simple and compact Either Scaling or Nonscaling !!!

A series of 3-4 FFAG rings



Muon Acceleration based on a series of FFAGs

Muon Yield Estimation

8 Muon Capture: 0.3 muons/proton 8 Proton intensity: 2x10²¹/year 8 Muon survival rate:0.5 for E=1MV/m to 20 GeV Fraction of one straight section: 0.3



Yield = $2 \times 10^{21} \times 0.3 \times 0.52 \times 0.3$ = 1×10^{20} muons/decay/year

NuFACT at J-PARC



From MF to NF

Staging scenario (with FFAG) Muon Factory (PRISM) For stopped muon experiments Muon Factory-II (PRISM-II) Muon moments (g-2, EDM) Neutrino Factory-I Based on 1 MW proton beam Neutrino Factory-II Based on 4.4 MW proton beam Muon Collider

> Physics outcome at each stage



70 MeV/c PRISM 0.3-1 GeV/c 1-3 GeV/c **PRISM-II**

3-10 GeV/c Nufact-I

10-20 GeV/c **Nufact-II**

Muon **Storage Ring**

PRISM R&D STATUS

PRISM Ring Construction

PRISM ring construction has been approved in JFY2003.

8

FFAG ring 8 5 year plan 3 construction at Osaka university Plan before J-PARC ğ proton/muon phase rotation 8 muon acceleration 8 muon beam cooling



PRISM-FFAG Layout



JFY2003: rf amp. and cores JFY2004: rf cavity (1) FFAG magnet (2) JFY2005: FFAG magnets (4) JFY2006: FFAG magnets (4) JFY2007: chambers, etc.

FFAG Magnet Design



25/Aug/2003 12:09:46



| UNITS | |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| Length | cm |
| Magn Flux Density | gauss |
| Magn Field | oersted |
| Magn Scalar Pot | oersted-c |
| Magn Vector Pot | gauss-cm |
| Elec Flux Density | C/cm ² |
| Elec Field | V/cm |
| Conductivity | S/cm |
| Current Density | A/cm ² |
| Power | W |
| Force | N |
| Energy | J |
| | |

PROBLEM DATA triplet.op3

TOSCA Magnetostatic Non-linear materials Simulation No 1 of 1 36460 elements 156911 nodes 1404 conductors Nodally interpolated fields

Local Coordinates Origin: 0.0, 0.0, 0.0 Local XYZ = Global XYZ

FFAG field $B(r) = B_0\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)$

k



FFAG Field Calculation





3-dim. Field Calculation $B(r) = B_0 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^k$



Tracking Simulation

GEANT3 simulation with TOSCA magnetic field



$\pm 5nsec$ muon width at given momentum



not a sinusoidal, but a sawtooth shape is needed.

RF 5MHz, 250 kV/m $\Delta p/p = \pm 3$ % A. Sato

Phase Rotation Simulation

Horizontal



A. Sato

PRISM RF System

C. Ohmori, M. Aoki

| Field gradient | 250kV/m |
|-------------------|--|
| # of gaps | 4 |
| Impedance | 1 kohm/gap |
| core | MA 4 cores/gap |
| Duty | 0.1% air cooling |
| Power Tube | EIMAC 4CW150K DC35-40kV 900 kW(peak) |
| Amplifier | AB-class, push-pull for each gap |



RF cavity and amplifiers are constructed in 2003/2004

PRISM RF Field Gradient



PRISM goal $> 250 \, \text{kV/m}$

Summary

Physics potential for a Muon Factory Muon LFV and muon EDM. Physics potential for a Neutrino Factory MNS matrix and CP violation The use of FFAG for muon acceleration would be critical. J-PARC case is shown. ğ The PRISM-FFAG ring construction has started. We should work hard and together so as not to

miss the opportunity of the great discovery.

6th International Workshop on Neutrino Factories & Superbeams

NuFact 04

July 26 - August 1, 2004 Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

Chair Person Y. Kuno (Osaka U.)

International Advisory Committee

S. Bertolucci (INFN) S. Chattopadhyay (Jlab) H. Chen (IHEP) P. Dornan (Imperial College) R. Eichler (PSI) B. Foster (U. of Oxford) S. Holmes (Fermilab) S. Katsanevas (IN2P3) T. Kirk (BNL) R. Aymar (CERN) S. Nagamiya (KEK) W. Namkung (Pohang U.) P. Oddone (LBL) K. Peach (RAL) A. Sessler (LBNL) M. Shaevitz (Columbia U.) A. Skrinsky (BINP) H. Sugawara (U. of Hawaii) M. Tigner (Cornell U.) H. Toki (RCNP, Osaka U.) Y. Totsuka (KEK) A. Wagner (DESY) M. Witherell (Fermilab) S. Wojcicki (Stanford U.) C. Wyss (CERN)

Scientific Program Committee

| A. Blondel (U. of Geneva) | K. Nakamura (KEK) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| R. Edgecock (RAL) | M. Napolitano (INFN) |
| A. deRujula (CERN) | K. Nishikawa (Kyoto U.) |
| S. Geer (Fermilab) | R. Palmer (BNL) |
| B. Kayser (Fermilab) | V. Palladino (INFN) |
| D. Harris (Fermilab) | A. Para (Fermilab) |
| D. Hartill (Cornell U.) | T. Shibata (Tokyo Institute of To |
| H. Haseroth (CERN) | K. Yokoya (KEK) |
| K. Long (Imperial College) | O. Yasuda (Tokyo Metropolitan |
| Y. Mori (KEK) | M. Zisman (LBNL) 🖌 📲 🛛 |
| | |

Local Organizing Group

M. Aoki (Osaka U., Scientific Secretary) T. Hara (Kobe U.) Y. Kuno (Osaka U., Chair) M. Kuze (Tokyo Institute of Technology) S. Machida (KEK) T. Nakaya (Kyoto U.) E. Takasugi (Osaka U.) A. Sato (Osaka U.) K. Yoshimura (KEK)

For Further Information Please Contact : nufact04@kunosrv.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

http://www-kuno.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp/~nufact04/

12

NuFact04

July 26-August 1, 2004 Osaka University, Japan

Sponsored by JSPS and the 21st COE Program of "Towards a New Basic Science : Depth and Synthesis"

100